

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,554	03/31/2004	Richard Warren Hailey	014586-9015-00	7426	
1131 MICHAEL RE	7590 09/06/2007 ST & EDIEDDICH LLD		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP Two Prudential Plaza			RUTLEDGE, AMELIA L		
180 North Stets CHICAGO, IL	son Avenue, Suite 2000 60601		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2176		
011101100,12					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$m\sim$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,554	HAILEY ET AL.	:
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amelia Rutledge	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	:
Status			•
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) □</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal matte		·
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>25-30,34-46 and 52</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	thdrawn from consideration.		:
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-30, 34-46, and 52</u> is/are rejection  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction			,
Application Papers			;
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the sp	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	:
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.		119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E</li></ul>	e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	:
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not a	received.	:
Attachment(s)	🗀	<b>1000</b> 1150	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed 06/22/2007.
- 2. Claims 25-30, 34-46, and 52 are pending in the case. Claims 25, 34, and 52 are independent claims.
- 3. Claims 35 and 36 have been amended to overcome the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, therefore those claim rejections have been withdrawn.

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 31-33 and 47-51 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 31-33 and 47-51 are withdrawn, therefore they should be removed from the listing of pending claims. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 25-30, 34-46, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 25-30, 34-46, and 52 describe a computer readable medium having a schema for a document generation system (claims 25-30), and a computer readable medium having a data structure for a document (claims 34-46), and describe the various elements included in the schema and data structure. As such, claims 25-30 and

Art Unit: 2176

34-46 and 52 are directed to nonfunctional descriptive material, and claim mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship. At best the claims are directed to software *per se*. For example, claim 34 claims a data structure for a document, and a collection of elements, but does not claim a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data. The claimed invention should demonstrate how the data structure is used and how it causes functional change in the computer.

The following passage from the *Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent*Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility (hereinafter "Interim Guidelines"), p. 55, emphasis added, further explains nonfunctional descriptive material.

Nonfunctional descriptive material that does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. USPTO personnel should be prudent in applying the foregoing guidance.

Nonfunctional descriptive material may be claimed in combination with other functional descriptive multi-media material on a computer-readable medium to provide the necessary functional and structural interrelationship to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101. The presence of the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material is not necessarily determinative of nonstatutory subject matter. For example, a computer that recognizes a particular grouping of musical notes read from memory and upon

Art Unit: 2176

recognizing that particular sequence, causes another defined series of notes to be played, defines a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data, and as such is statutory because it implements a statutory process.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 25-30, 34-46, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mohr et al. (hereinafter "Mohr"), U.S. Patent No. 6,826,727 B1, issued November 2004.

Regarding independent claim 25, Mohr teaches a computer readable medium having instructions for generating instances of a document based on a template structured according to a schema, since Mohr teaches a system for laying out documents with flexible layout process and variable data publishing system (col. 2, l. 40-col. 6, l. 38; Abstract). Mohr teaches that the schema comprises a template root element (col. 11, l. 10, l. 43-col. 11, l. 26; col. 13, l. 39-67); a template information element (col. 13, l. 22-67). Mohr teaches a data table element configured to contain

Art Unit: 2176

data that is used to transform an abstract instance of a document template to a concrete instance of a document template (col. 11, l. 60-col. 24; col. 12, l. 65-col. 13, l. 38; Figs. 49, 50), since Mohr teaches content mapping rules to map variable data from different template files, and a given template file can be used with content mapping rule sets of different projects (col. 38, l. 47-col. 39, l. 60). Mohr also teaches mapping content from a data table element of content mapping rules (col. 39, l. 15-51; col. 40, l. 5-col. 41, l. 30). Mohr teaches that the schema comprises an instances element containing at least one instance element (col. 38, l. 47-col. 39, l. 60), which describes how each individual instance of the document is constructed (col. 14, l. 29-45; col. 11, l. 10, l. 43-col. 11, l. 26; col. 13, l. 39-67), since Mohr teaches dynamically mapping files into a template container that is a variable element (col. 13, l. 39-67; col. 42, l. 37-col. 43, l. 37).

Regarding dependent claim 26, Mohr teaches that the instance element is configurable to include an instance data table element (col. 38, I. 47-col. 39, I. 60), a pages element (col. 11, I. 43-59), an overlays element, i.e., layout box element (col. 11, I. 26-42), a continuations element, i.e., element for designating size and positioning (col. 42, I. 37-col. 43, I. 37; col. 11, I. 20-59; col. 14, I. 29-45), and a data element (col. 13, I. 6-38).

Regarding dependent claim 27, Mohr teaches that the pages element is configurable to include a page element and the page element is configurable to include an overlays element and a composition element (col. 14, I. 59-col. 15, I. 12), since Mohr teaches that certain template elements can be mapped to variable elements, and Mohr teaches mapping variable text elements (col. 11, I. 42-col. 12, I.

Art Unit: 2176

24), the nesting of elements to include other elements, and mapping of content into box elements and shape elements (col. 13, I. 6-21; col. 14, I. 29-45).

Regarding dependent claim 28, Mohr teaches that the overlays element is configurable to include one or more overlay elements, which is implied by the disclosure of nested elements (col. 11, I. 2-26) and the mapping of variable values (col. 12, I. 16-col. 13, I. 38).

Regarding dependent claim 29, Mohr teaches that the continuations element is configurable to include one or more continuation elements and one or more overflow default elements, which is implied by the disclosure of nested elements (col. 11, I. 2-26) and the mapping of variable values (col. 12, I. 16-col. 13, I. 38; col. 25, I. 8-24).

Regarding dependent claim 30, Mohr teaches that the instance data table element is configurable to include one or more datum elements, which is implied by the disclosure of nested elements (col. 11, I. 2-26) and the mapping of variable values (col. 12, I. 16-col. 13, I. 38).

Regarding independent claim 34, Mohr teaches a computer readable medium having instructions for generating instances of a document based on a template defining a data structure for the document, since Mohr teaches a system for laying out documents with flexible layout process and variable data publishing system (col. 2, I. 40-col. 6, I. 38; Abstract). Mohr teaches that the template schema comprises a template root element (col. 11, I. 10, I. 43-col. 11, I. 26; col. 13, I. 39-67); a template information element (col. 13, I. 22-67); a data table element (col. 11, I. 60-col. 24; col.

Art Unit: 2176

12, I. 65-col. 13, I. 38), since Mohr teaches content mapping rules to map variable data from a database table (col. 13, I. 2-6), i.e., a data table element.

Mohr teaches that the template schema comprises an instances element including at least one instance element configured to describe how a document is constructed (col. 14, I. 29-45; col. 11, I. 10, I. 43-col. 11, I. 26; col. 13, I. 39-67), since Mohr teaches dynamically mapping files into a template container that is a variable element (col. 13, I. 39-67; col. 11, I. 60-col. 24; col. 12, I. 65-col. 13, I. 38; Figs. 49, 50). Mohr teaches content mapping rules to map variable data from different template files, and a given template file can be used with content mapping rule sets of different projects (col. 38, I. 47-col. 39, I. 60).

Mohr teaches that the instance element includes an instance data table element and at least one continuations element, the continuations element defining continuation handling for data included in the data table element, the instance data table element, or both, because Mohr teaches different content mapping rules for different projects and elements within the projects, in order to create variable page elements for each project (col. 38, I. 47-col. 40, I. 53; col. 42, I. 37-col. 43, I. 37).

Regarding dependent claim 35, Mohr teaches that the template information element includes descriptive information about the template element (col. 13, l. 22-67).

Regarding dependent claim 36, Mohr teaches that the descriptive information includes a title element that contains a title for the document at hand (Fig. 50, items 130C, 130D, and 130E), a description element that is a container for free-form text about the template element (col. 13, l. 6-38), a help text element, which is a container

Art Unit: 2176

for free-form information that may be useful to a consumer of the document (col. 13, I. 6-38), and Mohr implies a document type element that is provided to support a type element from other schemas or DTDs (col. 12, I. 65-col. 13, I. 38), since Mohr teaches using content mapping rules, the association of outside files, and mapping element content to a digital asset management system.

Regarding dependent claim 37, Mohr teaches that the template information element includes a print constraints element which is a container for special values that may be needed or used by a printing environment (col. 41, I. 3-55).

Regarding dependent claim 38, Mohr teaches that the data table element includes data values to be used in a specific instance of a template, (col. 14, l. 29-45; col. 11, l. 10, l. 43-col. 11, l. 26; col. 13, l. 39-67), since Mohr teaches dynamically mapping files into a template container that is a variable element (col. 13, l. 39-67).

Regarding dependent claim 39, Mohr teaches that the data table element defines a structure of data values that can be accessed by name, or by a combination of name and one or more indices (col. 12, I. 17-col. 13, I. 37).

Regarding dependent claim 40, Mohr teaches that the instances element is configured to include one or more instance elements, which is implied by the disclosure of nested elements (col. 11, l. 2-26) and the mapping of variable values (col. 12, l. 16-col. 13, l. 38).

Regarding dependent claims 41-45, claims 41-45 are directed to substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 26-29, and are rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claim 46, Mohr teaches that continuation elements are configured to be used by a processor to process overflows (col. 42, I. 36-col. 43, I. 63).

Regarding independent claim 52, Mohr teaches a computer readable medium having instructions for generating instances of a document based on a template structured according to a schema, since Mohr teaches a system for laying out documents with flexible layout process and variable data publishing system (col. 2, l. 40-col. 6, l. 38; Abstract).

Mohr teaches that the schema comprises a data table element configured to contain data that is used to transform an abstract instance of a document template to a concrete instance of a document template (col. 11, l. 60-col. 24; col. 12, l. 65-col. 13, l. 38; Figs. 49, 50), since Mohr teaches content mapping rules to map variable data from different template files, and a given template file can be used with content mapping rule sets of different projects (col. 38, l. 47-col. 39, l. 60).

Mohr teaches that the template has an instances element containing at least one instance element, because Mohr teaches different content mapping rules for different projects and elements within the projects, in order to create variable page elements for each project (col. 38, l. 47-col. 40, l. 53).

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 06/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2176

In response to applicant's arguments regarding the claim rejections of claims 25-30 and 34-46 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter (Remarks, p. 7), applicant's amendments to the claims are not sufficient to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the amended claims also do not cause functional change in the computer, and at best are directed to software *per se. A computer readable medium having instructions for...* does not cause a functional change in the computer, but instead represents a data structure or listing of instructions.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejections of claim 1 as anticipated by Mohr (Remarks, p. 7-9), Mohr does teach that the schema comprises a data table element configured to contain data that is used to transform an abstract instance of a document template to a concrete instance of a document template (col. 11, I. 60-col. 24; col. 12, I. 65-col. 13, I. 38; Figs. 49, 50), since Mohr teaches content mapping rules to map variable data from different template files, and a given template file can be used with content mapping rule sets of different projects (col. 38, I. 47-col. 39, I. 60).

Regarding the arguments for dependent claim 26 (Remarks, p. 9-10), in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the specific interpretation of "continuations element") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). However, Mohr does teach a continuations element at

Art Unit: 2176

col. 42, I. 37-col. 43, I. 37 since Mohr teaches handling text layout under different arrangements.

For these reasons, and the reasons of record, the claim rejections should be maintained.

#### Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amelia Rutledge whose telephone number is 571-272-7508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,554 Page 12

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

WILLIAM BASHORE